

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/620,543 07/16/2003		Terumitsu Takahashi	CONDA.00010 8434			
22858 75	590 02/28/2005	2/28/2005 EXAMINER				
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP			SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W			
P O BOX 802334						
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2834			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	No. Applicant(s)				
Office Assis a Commence		10/620,543		TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		David W. Scheu		2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2003.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-f	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application		ration				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,9-13, 14 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2003</u> is/are: a)		objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0	s) O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The term "flame" may be replaced with --frame--throughout the specification. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the curved and flat portions of claim 9 must be clearly shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). A perspective view is suggested. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 12, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayumi et al., US 4853576. Mayumi et al. show:

a motor comprising:

a yoke, wherein the yoke is substantially cylindrical (see figure 2) and has an opening portion, and wherein magnets are secured to the inside of the yoke;

a brush holder member (5) secured to the opening portion of the yoke, wherein the brush holder member retains a supply brush;

Art Unit: 2834

a housing member (4) secured to the opening portion of the yoke on the outside of the brush holder member, wherein the housing member covers the opening portion and

a securing member formed integrally with the yoke, wherein the securing member secures the brush holder member and the housing member to the yoke.

Re claim 6, note crimping portion 3, which fits into recess 7 of housing member 4 to retain brush holder member 5 fast to the yoke member.

As to claim 12, note that crimping portion 3 may be considered a "cut-out" portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2834

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayumi et al., US 4853576 in view of Baines, US 4893043. Mayumi et al. disclose the invention substantially as set forth in the rejection of claim 1, supra. Mayumi et al. do not expressly disclose; "... wherein the yoke is a flat type yoke that is formed substantially cylindrical by a pair of flat portions and a pair of curved portions, wherein the flat portions are parallel to each other, and wherein the curved portions connect the flat portions," and "... wherein he securing pieces are formed such a that each securing piece and the corresponding flat portion of the yoke are located on the same plane before being secured to the brush holder member and the housing member." Baines discloses a motor, "wherein the yoke is a flat type yoke that is formed substantially cylindrical by a pair of flat portions and a pair of curved portions, wherein the flat portions are parallel to each other, and wherein the curved portions connect the flat portions, for the purpose of reducing the size of the motor. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the yoke of the motor of Mayumi et al. to shape of the motor of Baines, furthermore it would have obvious to from the securing piece formed on the flat side of the motor to form a more engaging support which would be more easily swaged as only a flat surface would be bent rather than the curved surface of Mayumi et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to reduce overall motor size.

Art Unit: 2834

because a change in size or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose,* 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) (emphasis added).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5, 7, 8, and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The limitations of claim 3, "... wherein holder securing holes (2d) are formed in the brush holder member, wherein each holder securing hole corresponds to one of the securing pieces, and wherein each holder securing hole extends in the axial direction of the yoke, and wherein, an engaging (6a) portion is formed at a middle portion of each securing piece, an wherein , when each securing piece is inserted into one of the holder securing holes, the corresponding engaging portion locks the brush holder member.", in combination with the remaining structure is neither found nor fairly suggested in the prior art or any combination thereof. Furthermore the "adjusting projection" of claim 7, in combination with the remaining structure, is neither found nor fairly suggested in the prior art or any combination thereof.

Page 7

Conclusion .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David W. Scheuermann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached at (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

dws February 17, 2005

> DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800